Regional Expression Patterns of T1r Family in the Mouse Tongue

Yuko Kusakabe^{1,2}, Mi-Ryung Kim¹, Hirohito Miura^{1,2}, Yoichiro Shindo³, Yuzo Ninomiya^{2,4} and Akihiro Hino^{1,2}

¹National Food Research Institute, ²Bio-oriented Technology Research Advancement Institution, ³Asahi Breweries Ltd and ⁴Kyushu University

Correspondence to be sent to: Yuko Kusakabe, e-mail: ykusa@nfri.affrc.go.jp

Key words: taste receptor, circumvallate papillae, fungiform papillae, umami, sweet, gustducin

Introduction

The *T1r* family is one of the receptor family belong to class C type of G protein coupled receptors, and comprised of three taste budspecific receptors, T1r1, T1r2 and T1r3 (Nelson et al., 2001). T1r1 and T1r2 are known to have distinctive patterns of regional expression, respectively (Hoon et al., 1999). T1r1 is expressed in taste buds in the fungiform papillae, but is rare in the taste buds of circumvallate papillae. In contrast, *T1r2* is rarely expressed in fungiform papillae but is expressed in all taste buds of the circumvallate papillae. T1r3 is strongly expressed in both fungiform and circumvallate papillae (Kitagawa et al., 2001; Nelson et al., 2001) and forms an amino-acid (umami) receptor and a sweet receptor in combination with T1r1 and T1r2, respectively (Nelson et al., 2001; Li et al., 2002). These expression patterns suggest that taste cells in circumvallate papillae receive the sweet taste substances through the heterodimer of T1r2 and T1r3 (T1r2/T1r3), and those in fungiform papillae receive the umami substances through the heterodimer of T1r1 and T1r3 (T1r1/T1r3). However, physiological studies in mice showed that taste receptor cells in the anterior as well as in the posterior parts of the tongue were sensitive to both sweet and umami substances (Ninomiya et al., 1993). This contradiction may suggest an inadequacy of information about the expression of *T1r* family.

We compared *T1r* receptors (*T1rs*) expression pattern between circumvallate and fungiform papillae in mice using double-colored *in situ* hybridization. Furthermore, we examined the expression

patterns of *T1rs* and the taste cell specific G protein, *gustducin*, in order to compare taste signal transductions in circumvallate and fungiform papillae.

Results and discussion

Comparison of *T1rs* expression in circumvallate and fungiform papillae

The expression patterns of T1rs were examined by double-colored in situ hybridization using all combinations of T1rs cRNA probes to obtain further information about the regional expression patterns of T1rs. In circumvallate papillae, we found that T1r1 was expressed in larger numbers of taste cells than T1r3, and that the majority of T1r3-positive cells were included among the T1r1-positive cells (Figure 1). This result raised the possibility that *T1r3*-positive cells not only mediate sweet taste through T1r2/T1r3 as reported previously, but also madiate umami taste through T1r1/T1r3 in the circumvallate papillae. This novel finding is consistent with the nerve recording data (Ninomiya et al., 1993), but is not consistent with the data of T1r3 knock out mouse (Damak et al., 2003). Therefore, we could not determine the role of *T1r1* in the circumvallate papillae. We also found that the signal intensity of *T1r1* was lower than those of *T1r2* and *T1r3*, suggesting that *T1r1* expression in circumvallate papillae was weaker than T1r2 and T1r3. Taking this result and the





Figure 1 Expression patterns of *T1rs* in circumvallate and fungiform papillae. **(a)** *T1r3*-expressing cells were included among the *T1r1*-expressing cells in circumvallate papillae. **(b)** *T1r2*-expressing cells were included among the *T1r3*-expressing cells in fungiform papillae.



Figure 2 Co-expression patterns of *T1rs* and *gustducin* in fungiform papillae. (a) *T1r2*-expressing cells were included among the *gustducin*-expressing cells. (b) A part of *T1r1*-expressing cells co-expressed *gustducin*.

data of T1r3 knock out mouse into consideration, T1r1/T1r3 might be related to the restricted part of the umami taste signal transduction, and other receptors such as mGluR1 and mGluR4 might play central roles in this transduction. In fungiform papillae, we found that T1r2 was expressed in restricted taste cells (Figure 1). Doublecolored in situ hybridization showed that about half of T1r3-positive cells expressed *T1r2*, and *T1r2*-positive cells were included among the *T1r3*-positive cells (Figure 3). We also observed that the expression of *T1r1* and *T1r2* partly overlapped each other. Because almost all T1r2-positive cells were observed to express T1r3, T1r1 and T1r2positive cells were considered to express T1r3. These data suggest that sweet and umami taste signal transduction in fungiform papillae are mediated by T1r1/T1r3 and T1r2/T1r3, respectively, corresponding to the nerve recording data in which chorda tympani (CT) nerves innervating the fungiform papillae respond to both sweet and umami stimuli (Ninomiya et al., 1993).

Expression patterns of *T1rs* with *gustducin* in circumvallate papillae and fungiform papillae

Gustducin, a taste cell-specific G protein, has been considered to play roles in bitter, sweet and umami taste signal transduction, based on behavioral and electrophysiological studies using gustducin-null mutant mice (Wong et al., 1996; Ruiz et al., 2003). However, histological studies in taste buds of circumvallate papillae indicated that gustducin and the sweet receptor T1r2/T1r3 were expressed separately (Nelson et al., 2001), while bitter taste receptors, T2rs were coexpressed with gustducin (Adler et al., 2000). Moreover, there is little information about the co-expression pattern of T1r1 and gustducin. Accordingly, it was hard to understand how gustducin was associated with the sweet and umami taste receptors. We considered that the confusion might be due to differences in the taste cells tested in behavioral and histological experiments. The data of the two bottle choice tests using gustducin-null mutant mice (Wong et al., 1996; Ruiz et al., 2003) reflect the total responses of all taste cells on the tongue. On the other hand, the results from histological experiments using sections of circumvallate papillae show the expression profile of taste cells in the circumvallate papillae only (Adler et al., 2000; Nelson et al., 2001). Therefore, we hypothesized that the role of gustducin in the fungiform papillae were different from that in the circumvallate papilla, and then compared the expression patterns of gustducin with T1rs in the fungiform papillae.

In fungiform papillae, T1r2-positive cells were included among the gustducin-positive cells (Figure 2). As mentioned above, T1r2positive cells were also included among T1r3-positive cells, therefore, every T1r2- positive cell co-expressed T1r3 and gustducin in the fungiform papillae (Figure 3). Moreover, a part of T1r1-positive cells co-expressed both T1r3 and gustducin (Figures 2 and 3). These results raise the possibility that taste cells in fungiform papillae respond to sweet and/or umami substances through T1r2/T1r3 and/ or T1r1/T1r3, and then transduce the signals by gustducin. This speculation is in agreement with the physiological and behavioral data of gustducin-null mouse (Wong et al., 1996; Ruiz et al., 2003).

To summarize, we observed different expression patterns of *T1rs* and *gustducin* in circumvallate and fungiform papillae. These findings suggested for the first time that *gustducin* might be involved in different taste signal transductions in the circumvallate papilla and the fungiform papillae, and might play a role in sweet and umami

Fungiform papillae

Circumvallate papillae



Figure 3 Diagrams of expression patterns of *T1rs* and *gustducin* in fungiform and circumvallate papillae. These diagrams are based on the results of double-colored *in situ* hybridization and supplemented with our speculation. The areas of circles designate the numbers of cells expressing *T1r1*, *T1r2*, *T1r3* or *gustducin*. The overlapped area represents the co-expression ratio.

taste signal transduction in fungiform papillae, from the view point of gene expression.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Bio-Oriented Technology Research Advancement Institution and Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. We thank Ms Hashimoto, Ms Okano, Ms Kato and Ms Tagami for technical help, and thank Mr Matsushita for providing the experimental mice.

References

- Adler, E., Hoon, M.A., Mueller, K.L., Chandrashekar, J., Ryba, N.J. and Zuker, C.S. (2000) A novel family of mammalian taste receptors. Cell, 100, 693–702.
- Damak, S., Rong, M., Yasumatsu, K., Kokrashvili, Z., Varadarajan, V., Zou, S., Jiang, P., Ninomiya, Y. and Margolskee, R.F. (2003) Detection of sweet and umami taste in the absence of taste receptor T1r3. Science, 301, 850–853.
- Hoon, M.A., Adler, E., Lindemeier, J., Battey, J.F., Ryba, N.J. and Zuker, C.S. (1999) Putative mammalian taste receptors: a class of taste-specific GPCRs with distinct topographic selectivity. Cell, 96, 541–551.
- Kitagawa, M., Kusakabe, Y., Miura, H., Ninomiya, Y. and Hino, A. (2001) Molecular genetic identification of a candidate receptor gene for sweet taste. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 283, 236–242.
- Li, X., Staszewski, L., Xu, H., Durick, K., Zoller, M. and Adler, E. (2002) Human receptors for sweet and umami taste. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 99, 4692–4696.
- Nelson, G., Hoon, M.K., Chandrashekar, J., Zhang, Y., Ryba, N.J.P. and Zuker, C.S. (2001) Mammalian sweet taste receptors. Cell, 106, 381–390.
- Ninomiya, Y., Kajiura, H. and Mochizuki, K. (1993) Differential taste responses of mouse chorda tympani and glossopharyngeal nerves to sugars and amino acids. Neurosci. Lett., 163, 197–200.
- Ruiz, C.J., Wray, K., Delay, E., Margolskee, R.F. and Kinnamon, S.C. (2003) Behavioral evidence for a role of alpha-gustducin in glutamate taste, Chem. Senses, 28, 573–579.
- Wong, G.T., Gannon, K.S. and Margolskee, R.F. (1996) Transduction of bitter and sweet taste by gustducin, Nature, 381, 796–800.